

SHARP BOUNDS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEANS

J. M. ALDAZ

ABSTRACT. We present sharp bounds for $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}$ in terms of the variance of the vector $(x_1^{1/2}, \dots, x_n^{1/2})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us start by fixing some notation. We use X to denote the vector with non-negative entries (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Of course, X can also be regarded as the function $X : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)^n$ satisfying $X(i) = x_i$. Then for $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $g(X)$ is defined as the usual composition of functions. In particular, if $g(t) = t^{1/2}$, $X^{1/2} = (x_1^{1/2}, \dots, x_n^{1/2})$. Given a sequence of weights $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, and a vector $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, the variance of Y with respect to α is $\text{Var}_\alpha(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (y_i - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k y_k)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i^2 - (\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k y_k)^2$. When $\alpha = (1/n, \dots, 1/n)$ we simply write $\text{Var}(Y)$. We also use $E_\alpha(Y) := \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k y_k$ and $\Pi_\alpha Y := \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i}$, with $E(Y)$ and ΠY denoting the equal weights case. Finally, Y_{\max} and Y_{\min} respectively stand for the maximum and the minimum values of Y .

The inequality between arithmetic and geometric means $0 \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X$ is self-improving in several ways. In particular, it immediately entails that $\text{Var}(X^{1/2}) \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X$: Just write $E_\alpha X - (E_\alpha X^{1/2})^2 \leq E_\alpha X - (\Pi_\alpha X^{1/2})^2$ (this already has useful consequences, as observed in [A1]). Conceptually, variance bounds for $E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X$ represent the natural extension of the equality case in the AM-GM inequality (zero variance is equivalent to equality). Here we prove that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}) \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}),$$

and both bounds are sharp. We also present a standard application to Hölder's inequality. The author is indebted to Prof. A. Bravo for some helpful comments.

Key words and phrases. Variance, Arithmetic-Geometric inequality.

2000 *Mathematical Subject Classification.* 26D15.

The author was partially supported by Grant MTM2009-12740-C03-03 of the D.G.I. of Spain.

2000 *Mathematical Subject Classification.* 26D15.

2. SHARP BOUNDS AND APPLICATIONS

Since we seek bounds in terms of variances (but independent of the specific entries of X itself) and since $E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X$ is 1-homogeneous (so for $t > 0$, $E_\alpha tX - \Pi_\alpha tX = t(E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X)$) the corresponding bounds must also be 1-homogeneous. This restricts our choices to essentially two possibilities: Either find bounds in terms of the standard deviation $\sigma(X)$ of X , or in terms of the variance of $X^{1/2}$. However $\sigma(X)$ does not satisfy any lower bound, as the following example shows, so we are left with just one possibility.

Example 2.1. For no constant $c > 0$ does the inequality $c\sigma(X) \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X$ always hold. To see this, just take $n = 2$, $\alpha = (1/2, 1/2)$, and $X = (1 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon)$. Then $\sigma(X) = \varepsilon$, while $EX - \Pi X = O(\varepsilon^2)$, so the assertion follows by letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.2. For $n \geq 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be such that $x_i \geq 0$, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ satisfy $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}) \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}).$$

In particular, if $\alpha = (n^{-1}, \dots, n^{-1})$, then

$$(2) \quad \frac{n}{n-1} \text{Var}(X^{1/2}) \leq EX - \Pi X \leq n \text{Var}(X^{1/2}).$$

Example 2.3. Note that when $n = 2$ the left and right hand sides of (2) are equal, so in general neither bound can be improved. In fact, equality can be attained on both sides of (2) for arbitrary values of n . To see this, on the left hand side let $n > 1$ and let $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$. Since $\alpha = (n^{-1}, \dots, n^{-1})$, we have $\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} = n$, $EX = \frac{1}{n}$, and $\text{Var}(X^{1/2}) = \frac{n-1}{n^2}$, so equality holds. For the right hand side, let $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 1$, and $x_n = 0$. Then $\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} = n$, $EX = \frac{n-1}{n}$, and $\text{Var}(X^{1/2}) = \frac{n-1}{n^2}$, so again equality holds.

The preceding result is motivated by [CaFi, Theorem], which states that if $0 < X_{\min}$, then

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{2X_{\max}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X) \leq E_\alpha X - \Pi_\alpha X \leq \frac{1}{2X_{\min}} \text{Var}_\alpha(X)$$

(cf. also [Alz], [Me], [A2], [A5] for additional refinements and references, and [A3] for probabilistic information regarding the GM-AM ratio).

A drawback of (3) is that since the inequalities depend on X_{\max} and X_{\min} , they are not well suited for standard arguments where pointwise inequalities are integrated. As an instance, to obtain a refinement of Hölder's Inequality from (3), one would have to assume a priori that functions are bounded away from 0 and ∞ , which is too restrictive, while using (1) does not require any such assumption. The standard argument used to derive Hölder's inequality from the AM-GM inequality applies verbatim to refinements (cf. [A4, Theorem 2.2] for the case of two functions, and [A1, Corollary 2] for the upper bound with a weaker constant).

Regarding the meaning of the inequalities below, they just say that the “more different” the functions are, the smaller their product is, and viceversa. Now, since in principle these functions belong to different spaces, to compare them they are first normalized, and then mapped

to L^2 via the Mazur's map (which has controlled distortion) so differences are measured in L^2 .

Corollary 2.4. *For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $1 < p_i < \infty$ be such that $p_1^{-1} + \dots + p_n^{-1} = 1$, and let $0 \leq f_i \in L^{p_i}$ satisfy $\|f_i\|_{p_i} > 0$. Then*

$$(4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{p_i} \left(1 - p_{\max} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \left\| \frac{f_i^{p_i/2}}{\|f_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i/2}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{f_k^{p_k/2}}{\|f_k\|_{p_k}^{p_k/2}} \right\|_2^2 \right)_+ \leq$$

$$(5) \quad \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|_1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{p_i} \left(1 - \frac{p_{\max}}{p_{\max} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \left\| \frac{f_i^{p_i/2}}{\|f_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i/2}} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{p_k} \frac{f_k^{p_k/2}}{\|f_k\|_{p_k}^{p_k/2}} \right\|_2^2 \right).$$

Proof. Set $\alpha_i = p_i^{-1}$ and $x_i = f_i^{p_i}(u)/\|f_i\|_{p_i}^{p_i}$ in (1). To obtain (4, 5), integrate and multiply all terms by $\prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{p_i}$. \square

The bounds in Theorem 2.2 can be used to obtain new bounds in terms of other variances.

Corollary 2.5. *For $n \geq 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be such that $x_i \geq 0$, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ satisfy $\alpha_i, \beta_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 1$. Then*

$$(6) \quad \min_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\min}}, \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{\min}} \right\} \text{Var}_{\beta}(X^{1/2}) \leq$$

$$(7) \quad E_{\alpha}X - \Pi_{\alpha}X \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} \right\} \text{Var}_{\beta}(X^{1/2}).$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 and [A1, Theorem 2.1], which states that

$$(8) \quad \min_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} (E_{\beta}X - \Pi_{\beta}X) \leq E_{\alpha}X - \Pi_{\alpha}X \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} (E_{\beta}X - \Pi_{\beta}X),$$

we immediately obtain

$$\min_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\beta}(X^{1/2}) \leq E_{\alpha}X - \Pi_{\alpha}X \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\beta}(X^{1/2}).$$

The analogous bounds in terms of α_{\min} follow from the fact that given any vector Y , not necessarily positive,

$$(9) \quad \min_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \text{Var}_{\beta}(Y) \leq \text{Var}_{\alpha}(Y) \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \right\} \text{Var}_{\beta}(Y),$$

which is a special case of the Dragomir-Jensen inequality (cf. [Dra] for the original inequality, proven in the discrete case, and [A6] for a general version). \square

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

As in [CaFi], we use an induction argument, so the first step is to prove the inequality when $n = 2$ (Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3). Unlike [CaFi], since no a priori bounds are imposed on X , we need to take into account the possibility that one or several entries of X be zero (Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 3.1. *For all $x, y \geq 0$, setting $X = (x, y)$ we have*

$$(10) \quad \frac{x+y}{2} - \sqrt{xy} = 2 \operatorname{Var}(X^{1/2}).$$

Proof. Expand the right hand side. □

Next we use the following strengthening of Young's inequality, which appeared in [A4, Lemma 2.1] under a slightly different notation (cf. [Fu] and [A3] for generalizations).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a \in [0, 1/2]$. Then for all $x, y \geq 0$*

$$(11) \quad 2a \left(\frac{x+y}{2} - \sqrt{xy} \right) \leq ax + (1-a)y - x^a y^{1-a} \leq 2(1-a) \left(\frac{x+y}{2} - \sqrt{xy} \right).$$

To rewrite [A4, Lemma 2.1] as above, make the change of variables $a = 1/q$, $1-a = 1/p$, $x^a = v$, $y^{1-a} = u$, and expand the squares.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in (0, 1/2]$, and set $\alpha = (a, 1-a)$. Writing $X = (x, y)$, where $x, y \geq 0$, we have*

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{1-a} \operatorname{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}) \leq ax + (1-a)y - x^a y^{1-a} \leq \frac{1}{a} \operatorname{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}).$$

Proof. Consider first the right hand side. Write

$$f(x, y) := \frac{1}{a} \operatorname{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}) - ax - (1-a)y + x^a y^{1-a}.$$

To see that $f(x, y) \geq 0$, simplify first. This yields

$$f(x, y) := (1-2a)x - 2(1-a)\sqrt{xy} + x^a y^{1-a}.$$

Since $\sqrt{xy} = \frac{x+y}{2} - 2 \operatorname{Var}(X^{1/2})$ by (10), substituting and simplifying we find that $f(x, y) \geq 0$ if and only if

$$ax + (1-a)y - x^a y^{1-a} \leq 2(1-a)2 \operatorname{Var}(X^{1/2}),$$

which is just the second inequality in (11), together with (10).

For the left hand side inequality in (3.3), follow essentially the same steps as before, but using the first inequality in (11) instead of the second. □

Lemma 3.4. *For $n \geq 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be such that $x_i \geq 0$, and $x_j = 0$ for some index j . Let $\alpha_i > 0$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then*

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{\min}} \operatorname{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}) \leq E_\alpha X \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \operatorname{Var}_\alpha(X^{1/2}).$$

Proof. We prove the right hand side inequality. The argument for the left hand side is entirely analogous. Define, for non-negative $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$f(X) := \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\alpha}(X^{1/2}) - E_{\alpha}X = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i.$$

To see that if some coordinate equals zero then $f(X) \geq 0$, we use induction on the number of non-zero coordinates. Suppose first that exactly one coordinate in X is different from zero, say $x_i > 0$. Then

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(\alpha_i x_i - \left(\alpha_i x_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right) - \alpha_i x_i = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_{\min} - \alpha_i}{\alpha_{\min}} \right) \alpha_i x_i \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_{\min}$.

Next, suppose that exactly k coordinates in $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are larger than zero, for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, and that the result is true whenever fewer than k coordinates are non-zero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_1, \dots, x_k > 0$. Since $f(X)$ is 1-homogeneous, that is, for every $t > 0$, $f(tX) = tf(X)$, we may also assume that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i = 1$. Write $h(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)$. By compactness of the simplex $S := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i = 1\}$, h has a global minimum on S . If the minimum is achieved at the boundary, then $h \geq 0$ on S by the induction hypothesis, so it is enough to check that $h(y_1, \dots, y_k) \geq 0$ whenever (y_1, \dots, y_k) is a critical point of h in the relative interior of S . Using Lagrange multipliers, we obtain, for $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$h_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(\alpha_j - \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i^{1/2} \right) x_j^{-1/2} \right) - \alpha_j = \lambda \alpha_j.$$

Simplifying we find that

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i^{1/2} \right) x_j^{-1/2} = \lambda + 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}},$$

and since the left hand side is not zero, so is the right hand side. Thus,

$$x_j^{1/2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha_{\min} - \lambda \alpha_{\min}},$$

and it follows that whenever (y_1, \dots, y_k) is a critical point, all its coordinates are equal, say, to the value t defined by

$$t^{1/2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i y_i^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha_{\min} - \lambda \alpha_{\min}}.$$

Then

$$h(t, \dots, t) = \frac{t \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i - \alpha_{\min} \right) \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $k = n - 1$ and $\alpha_n = \alpha_{\min}$. □

Proof of the theorem. We are now ready to show that for every $X \in [0, \infty)^n$,

$$f(X) := \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\alpha}(X^{1/2}) - E_{\alpha}X + \Pi_{\alpha}X \geq 0,$$

which proves the right hand side inequality in (1); the argument for the left hand side inequality is entirely analogous. Again, by 1-homogeneity it is enough to show that $f(Y) \geq 0$ for every critical point Y of f in the simplex $S := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 1\}$. Now, on the boundary of S , $f(X) \geq 0$ by Lemma 3.4. In the relative interior of S we use Lagrange multipliers together with induction. The induction hypothesis states that whenever β is a sequence of fewer than n weights (positive and adding up to 1), and $W \in [0, \infty)^{n-1}$, we have

$$(14) \quad E_{\beta}W - \Pi_{\beta}W \leq \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\beta}(W^{1/2}).$$

If $n = 2$ the result holds by Lemma 3.3, so suppose that $n \geq 3$, and let Y be a critical point of f in the relative interior of S . Then

$$f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \left(\alpha_j - \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i y_i^{1/2} \right) y_j^{-1/2} \right) - \alpha_j + \alpha_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i} \right) y_j^{-1} = \lambda \alpha_j.$$

Simplifying, this yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} - 1 - \lambda \right) y_j - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i y_i^{1/2}}{\alpha_{\min}} \right) y_j^{1/2} + \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i} = 0.$$

Writing $A = 1/\alpha_{\min} - 1 - \lambda$, $B = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i^{1/2}/\alpha_{\min}$, and $C = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i}$ we find that $y_1^{1/2}, \dots, y_n^{1/2}$ are all positive solutions of

$$At^2 - Bt + C = 0.$$

Given that this equation has at most two roots and $n \geq 3$, at least two coordinates of Y are equal. By relabeling if needed, we may assume that $y_{n-1} = y_n$. Set, for $k < n-1$, $\beta_k = \alpha_k$, $w_k = y_k$, and define $\beta_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$, $w_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$. With $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ and $W := (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$, we have

$$(15) \quad E_{\alpha}Y - \Pi_{\alpha}Y = E_{\beta}W - \Pi_{\beta}W \leq \frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\beta}(W^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}} \text{Var}_{\alpha}(Y^{1/2})$$

since $\alpha_{\min} \leq \beta_{\min}$. Thus, $f(Y) \geq 0$ at all the critical points $Y \in S$, so $f(X) \geq 0$ for all non-negative X .

For the left hand side inequality in (1), note that since $\alpha_{\min} \leq \beta_{\min}$, we have $(1 - \alpha_{\min})^{-1} \leq (1 - \beta_{\min})^{-1}$, and the result follows again by induction. \square

REFERENCES

- [A1] Aldaz, J. M. *Self-improvement of the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means*. Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 3, 2 (2009) pp 213–216. arXiv:0807.1788.
- [A2] Aldaz, J. M. *A refinement of the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means*. Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 2, 4 (2008) pp 473–477. arXiv:0811.3145.
- [A3] Aldaz, J. M. *Concentration of the ratio between the geometric and arithmetic means*. Journal of Theoretical Probability, Volume 23, Number 2, 498–508, DOI: 10.1007/s10959-009-0215-9. arXiv:0807.4832.
- [A4] Aldaz, J. M. *A stability version of Hölder’s inequality*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 343, Issue 2 (2008) 842–852. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.104. arXiv:0710.2307.
- [A5] Aldaz, J. M. *Comparison of differences between arithmetic and geometric means*. Tamkang J. of Math. Volume 42, Number 4, 453–462 (2011) 453–462 doi:10.5556/j.tkjm.42.2011. arXiv:1001.5055
- [A6] Aldaz, J. M. *A measure-theoretic version of the Dragomir-Jensen inequality*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Article electronically published on November 7, 2011. arXiv:1101.0239
- [Alz] Alzer, H. *A new refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 27, No. 3 (1997) , 663–667.
- [CaFi] Cartwright, D. I.; Field, M. J. *A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1978), no. 1, 36–38.
- [Dra] Dragomir, Sever S. *Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 74 (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [Fu] Furuichi, Shigeru *On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities*. J. Math. Inequal. 5 (2011), no. 1, 21–31.
- [Me] Mercer, A. McD. *Bounds for the A-G, A-H, G-H, and a family of inequalities of Ky Fan’s type, using a general method*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 243, (2000) 163–173, doi:10.1006/j.jmaa.1999.6688.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, CANTOBLANCO 28049, MADRID, SPAIN.

E-mail address: `jesus.munarriz@uam.es`